193889

DeSanty, Tricia

COPY

From:

Meira Warshauer [meira@musician.org]

Sent:

Tuesday, July 15, 2008 9:53 AM

To:

DeSanty, Tricia

Cc:

Meira Warshauer; Baker Samuel

Subject:

Docket # 2008-196-E

Attachments:

letter re SCE&G Docket001.pdf; ATT82738.txt

Posted:

Dept:

Time:

Dear Ms. Desanty,

Please submit the attached letter as a correction/revision to our previous letter of July 10. It has been revised to reflect new information.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely, Meira Maxine Warshauer

RECEIVET

JUL 1 5 2008

PSC SC DOCKETING DEPT.

RETURN DATE: 8/20/08 OR SERVICE: Served E. wade mullin. S. Elliott

Mr. Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk of the Commission Public Service Commission of South Carolina Docketing Department P. O. Drawer 11649 Columbia, SC 29211

Dear Mr. Terreni:

As customers of SCE&G, we wish to intervene in the proceedings scheduled for the 27th of October, 2008, regarding certification of environmental compatibility for construction of a new nuclear power facility at the V.C. Summer Nuclear Station site near Jenkinsville, SC, **Docket # 2008-196-E.**

We were out of town when the June bill arrived, and only now learned that SCE&G proposes to begin preparation of the site and perform construction work prior to the October hearing. We urge the PSC to deny permission to begin any phase of construction prior to the scheduled hearing. It is our understanding that SCE&G received permission from the Legislature to pass on to the customers any expense related to construction of the new facilities. Even though SCE&G has said they will take on the risk and expenses associated with the pre-construction phase, these costs may be eventually passed on to the customers. Since we would be among those paying for this construction, we object to beginning such construction and site preparation, and possibly incurring expenses for those activities, before the assessment of environmental compatibility can take place. Furthermore, SCE&G has not defined exactly what construction and site preparation is planned. Therefore we urge the PSC to establish the extent of said construction via an open public process.

Among our concerns for this project are:

- 1. Health risks associated with nuclear power and safe waste disposal
- 2. Water use for cooling the reactors, at a time when water resources are threatened
- 3. Degradation of our source of drinking water from the Broad River
- 3. Increased rates required for the public to underwrite the new facility
- 4. Verification of SCE&G's predictions of future electricity needs

Magine Warsane Samuel Volu

5. Energy conservation education and efficiency incentives as an alternative to construction of new nuclear facilities

Sincerely,

Maxine Warshauer

Samuel Baker

3526 Boundbrook Lane

Columbia, SC 29206

803-787-4332 meira28@sc.rr.com cc. SCE&G and Office of Regulatory Staff